Mentor/Peer Review of CUIP Project Proposal

Please use the following format to assess the project proposal. Provide your frank reaction to this proposal and give specific comments that will assist the proposer in improving the description of the project and its plan.

Name of Reviewer ________________________________

Name of Proposal Author __________________________

Title of Project Proposal __________________________

Date of Review ________________________________

1. ABSTRACT:
   A. Does the abstract succinctly convey the goals and objectives of the project?
   B. Does the abstract succinctly describe the proposed work for the project?

2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:
   A. Comment on the goals and objectives of the project. How are they related to the mission of the university?
   B. Do the goals seem realistic given the time and resources allowed for the project?
   C. Does the proposal make a persuasive argument that the project will help accomplish its goals or have the indicated impact on the university? What could make it stronger?
   D. Were there any unclear parts of the description? Did there seem to be anything omitted that would help you understand the project better?
   E. Is the project described clear for someone who does not have local knowledge of UCSC?
   F. Does the proposal give specific, measurable (assessable) outcomes for the project?

3. ACTIVITY PLAN
   A. Are the initial steps clearly stated and specific?
B. Are the final steps realistically achievable within the limits of resources (time, personnel, expertise, and funds)?

4. EVALUATION PLAN

A. Does the plan assess on-going progress toward the objectives?

B. How will we be able to tell whether the project succeeded?

5. BUDGET

A. Are there any costs of the project that are omitted from the budget summary?

Final Summary Comments: